

The automated narrative structure of the written material might seem disjointed or peculiar in some areas. Moreover, it may include linguistic inaccuracies that typically would not be permissible in formal writing. It is recommended to watch the video lectures as a supplement to this written m aterial for a more comprehensive learning experience.

Paul - Should Women Teach? Main Verses: ```html

- 1 Corinthians 11
- 1 Corinthians 14
- 1 Timothy 2
- Romans 10
- Genesis 3

. .

Watch on Youtube:

https://youtube.com/watch?v=d4DtGAP4vOw

Message Given: Sep 15th 2015

Podcast:

https://foundedintruth.podbean.com/e/paul-should-women-teach/

Teaching Length: 66 Minutes 13 Seconds
Email us Questions & Comments:

info@foundedintruth.com

Was this teaching a blessing? **DONATE** 

When Paul was going around preaching to Corinth, Ephesus, even Rome, those letters could have gotten him killed because he was writing a language and writing, encouraging a revolt against the kingdom of Rome, a revolt against the kurios, the master of Caesar and encouraging an alternative society with an alternative king in the Roman Empire our curious our master our Lord Yeshua Messiah And so I'm going to be continuing the series on Paul And his interaction with the cult of Rome the imperial cult the worship of Caesar We know that the Republic of Rome had pretty much just fallen and the first emperor of Rome was a contemporary of Yeshua himself. And so we have this whole new revision. The Roman Empire is expanding and now the worship of Caesar is being established. Caesar is being established. Augustus Caesar, Octavian, is being established as the son of God because his father Julius was deified. And so we have this Caesar now who is the son of God. He's distributing coins all over Judea with his With his head on the front, on the back it says, Son of God. No wonder

Yeshua, no wonder he was facing the Pharisees. What's your coin? You're asking if you should pay taxes? Let me see the coin. Flip it over, Son of God. Give Caesar what is Caesar's. You have... Caesar in Rome being declared the savior of the world because he saved Rome from all the civil wars. He brought peace on earth because there was no more instability in Rome because of his presence. He was solidifying the structure in the form of a republic even though he was now the emperor. And every single province in Rome was forced to declare Caesar as Curios, Caesar as Lord, Master. In Romans 10, when it speaks about if you believe in your heart that Yeshua is your Lord and believe in your heart that he died and God raised him from the dead, you will be saved. When it states that if you declare with your mouth, confess with your mouth that Yeshua is Lord, that word is Kyrios. It was an affront to the cult of Caesar, the worldwide religion that was taking place. Huge, huge, huge deal. Corinth, Ephesus especially were imperial cult cities. They had multiple temples built with a statue of Caesar in them to bow down and worship. And so we're going to look at some verses where Paul's speaking, of course, this week, talking about women. I love doing gender-specific topics because I usually have a very... When I did that message, The Place of a Woman, The True Place of a Woman, I remember everybody showed up just all ready to get mad. I think a couple women had rocks in their purse to throw at me. And so I love having a controversial title because it throws everybody off. But we're going to go over a couple of things that Paul said about women. How many of you guys have read verses that Paul said about women? How many of you guys have just kind of pretended you didn't read them and turned the page? You can't bring that up. If you brought it up to your wife, you might not be married. Hey, but Paul says... Remember what we have to realize when we're looking specifically at Paul's letters. Paul's letters, just like the rest of the Bible, was written for you, but it was not written to you. And so we have to understand the context and we have to understand the audience of who Paul was writing to, right? I want to quote a couple of early church fathers is what they would call them. Tertullian, on his section on the apparel of women, he states, and do you not know, he's speaking of women, You. Not men, you women. You are she who persuaded him who the devil was not valiant enough to attack. You destroyed so easily God's image. Man, it was your fault. On account of your desertion, that is death, even the Son of God had to die because of you and what you did. You Eve, you devil's gateway. And do you think about adorning yourself over and above the very tunics of your skin? Why do you even try to dress up? Now, this man wrote a lot of other stuff that was very edifying to the early church, but this one wasn't. He grew up in a Roman province. Something we have to understand about early Rome is In Greek society, pre-Roman society, Greek women had a role in society. And it wasn't a bad role, but in our generation, we wouldn't call it a good role. It was an understood role. And it was a role of modesty, and it was a role of teaching the children. It was a role of running the house. And of course, we would look back on that today and think, wow, they were subjected to like a prison of their home. But in Greek, they didn't really view it that way. And then when Rome came along, they adapted some of the Greek philosophy of... of modesty in women, but they also started to introduce an oppressive wave of lowering the status of wife down. Women had a degraded status in Roman society. We see this in early church. How about St. Augustine? You guys know St. Augustine, right? Yeah, buddy. He wrote a lot of edifying stuff for the early church, but he also wrote this. I cannot think of any other reason for a woman being made as man's helper if we dismiss the reason of procreation. I'm gonna get hit. I'm really scared. And I'm not trying to discredit these men. These men were very edifying things to the churches, but these men grew up first, second, third, fourth century believing community that was based in Rome. They were among Roman virtues. They

weren't supposed to be, but they were. And we see this very clearly in their writings and their views of women. We wonder how or why many church denominations have grown up oppressing women. Christianity has oppressed women. Whether you want to acknowledge that or not, throughout the last 2,000 years, it has. It has lowered the role of a woman, the role of a wife, the role of a mother down below that of a peasant. It's worthless. Well, we have some worth, St. Augustine states. This is where they get that idea. Even denominations in churches today. Well, St. Paul says women need to hush up in church. They can't teach. He does. But what are we trying to discuss when we read Paul's letters? We need to understand who his audience was and what the context was. In Rome, around 100 BC, I know, we've got to have history. I love history. Around 100 BC, laws were passed from the hands of Caesar, the Lex Julian laws, if you will, if anyone's familiar with those. And they began to give freedom to to women as far as that wasn't there before. It also started to state dress codes and these laws began to limit and free certain attributes of women in Roman society. You weren't allowed to wear certain clothings. It identified certain women with certain clothings. So you were who you dressed, very literal thing. Today we don't have that. I mean you can dress a certain way but you don't have to dress a certain way by law. In Rome, yeah, they passed laws. If you dress like this, then obviously your job is a prostitute and it's legal. That's how we know what your job is. If you dress like this, you're a married woman. If you dress like this, you're obviously a freed woman, not a slave, but you're still very poor. If you dress like this, obviously you are a matron. You are an elite patrician, right? Well, with Lex Julian laws, freeing and limiting certain activities of women, on top of many other law reforms, women started becoming freer in marriage circles. So before 100 B.C., If you left a marriage in Rome, you wouldn't leave with anything. It would be detrimental to your life if you left a marriage. And so you would stick out of marriage for the sake of your own status. Well, law reforms came, and women were allowed to all of a sudden be able to have wealth when they left a marriage, take wealth when they left a marriage, get rich if they left a marriage, right? Kind of like today. This was a new ideal for women in Rome because they had never experienced this. Can you imagine this? And I'm not saying this is right or wrong, this is history. Can you imagine women stepping into a marriage role for the sake of having a better life and having a better status on the shoulders of their husbands, and they can never leave that and be independent, but all of a sudden, almost overnight, now if they choose to leave that, they're able to leave with wealth, they're able to control their own life, they're able to be rich. This was a revolutionary thing in society. It wasn't a bad thing. We would say it's a good thing, but it was a shift in the dynamics of Roman society. A couple of resources before I really go into the message. It's a book by Bruce Winters, Roman Wives, Roman Widows. Academic book, highly recommend it. It's boring, but it explains the social atmosphere of women in first century Rome. Paul and the Empire, which I use for the rest of these series, and also Tyler Rosenquist over at theancientbridge.com. I was speaking with her on the phone yesterday talking about this subject. She has a website that she does broadcast, and she goes over this subject. We were talking about Sociology, so social studies. The idea of a dyadic social structure. Anyone ever heard of that term? A dyad, dyadic social structure. It's pretty much a study of not people, but how people interact with each other and recognizing their roles with each other within society. An example, anyone ever watch Downton Abbey? Yeah, all right, yeah. Who's that witty elderly lady? You know what I'm talking about? Maggie Smith? I always liked the guy with the cane, Bates. He was so cool. Anyway. In the house, in the estate, you had two groups of people, right? You had the people upstairs, right? And their job was to run the estate but also contribute with their status to society. The mindset was everything's

becoming unstable but we have to continue on to hold society together. We have a role to play within greater society by being the patricians, by being the wealthy. We're not just sitting here all day watching TV. We are holding society together on a higher realm. And then you have the people downstairs. You guys know what I'm talking about? the staff, the workers. Now, some of them complained about their work life, but they each understood what their role was, right? Why did they understand what their role was? Because they understood that if their role did not exist, then the upstairs wouldn't exist, and then all of society would fall apart. And so, subconsciously, They knew what their role was, and their role was to continue being in the position and the status that they were downstairs to hold the upstairs together to hold society together. Okay? So it's kind of a view on dyadic structure. Now, what happens when the upstairs falls apart and society starts falling apart? And then the staff downstairs no longer has a expected role of what they were doing and how they were living. They break out of that mold. It's like freedom. It's a revolution. And society shifts. I was talking to Jason about this the other day. Right before World War II, marriages were pretty good, right? I mean, we came out of the 30s, but you know, we dealt with it. It's okay. We survived. And then the war came. And then what happened to the men? Where'd they have to go? Lock and load, right? Pearl Harbor, let's go. And so men were being shipped off overseas, leaving the role that they previously attended in society as the husband, as the caretaker, as the provider of the households empty. And they went off to war. Now, what was the encouragement of the governmental programs to the wives? Go work. Let's work. You know what I'm talking about? Let's go work. And so women began to work. They began to go to the factories. They began to understand what it meant to be independent without their husbands. They began to make a livelihood. They began to fill in the role that their husbands previously left. It's not a good thing, not a bad thing. This is just history. When the war ended, the husbands came home and divorce rates skyrocketed. because the husbands came home to a new social structure and they did not know how to fulfill the role that they previously held because it was occupied by their wife. Not good, not bad, but it is what it is. There was confusion, there was chaos, there was a social revolution. Roles were redefined. This happened in Rome, specifically with a phenomenon that Bruce Winters calls the new women or the new wives of Rome. We had women that were no longer satisfied with just having the traditional view of marriage. We had women that were jealous of the influence slaves and freed women had over their husbands. Did you guys know in Roman law that it was illegal for a wife to have adultery? But it was expected for a husband. That doesn't seem right. What happens when women have a redefined access to freedom in Roman law? Around 44 BCE, evidence of a new type of woman emerged in specific high-profile realms in Rome. a woman of high class, claiming the attitude and freedom within the pleasures of sexuality as a woman, female prostitute. Higher class women that had been subjected to the laws of traditional Rome, things that were expected of them, things like raising a family, things on dressing modestly, things on protecting their household, were no longer relevant. Abortion began to be on the rise because women didn't want to have to deal with the stretch marks because stretch marks would mean affecting their body and they can't show that off anymore if they want to live an immodest lifestyle now. We have reports in ancient Rome of wives going out and playing the prostitute to gain patrons for their husband and for their households and the husbands just looking the other way. All of this happened within like a hundred years. One generation in Rome. This attitude of self-assuming dominance within many of the high-class rives in Rome. You ever see any TV shows of Rome or movies of Rome that depict a first or second or third century Rome? And the women, do they look modest? Typically? Anyone ever? Not typically.

Barely wearing anything, not covering their head. This was the attitude of the new woman of Rome. The high status women in Rome began to compete for male attention in the arena that was formerly held by slaves and freed women. Remember, men could have adultery as long as it wasn't with another Roman citizen. So slaves and foreigners It was not uncommon. Wives knew this. It was illegal for wives to do it, but not for men. Well, if my husband gets to go out and have adultery, why can't I? I'm an empowered woman. Does that make sense? Dragging people along here. They, the wives, this is a second century citation from a play revealing the competition between high-class wives and hetaira. It's a class of women that were pretty much escorts in Rome. They were freed women and also slaves that made their living dancing, singing, and escorting high class men around. And the inscription goes like this: "The wives claim we always go with their men. They say we are their concubines and try to squelch us. Because we are freed women, we can do what we want pretty much. Both your mother and I became prostitutes. This was the attitude that was only limited to the lower class, and now the high class are getting involved. The new women favored the security of the title in marriage, but yearned to emulate the ideals of Hightower into it. Stripping away traditional models of modesty and subjection to identify roles, the new woman wanted to expand the idea of her role into something contemporary, without restriction and filled with unchained lust. So when Paul's writing these letters to new believers, he's competing with someone. He's competing with a huge social shift, a revolution. A revolution that was unexpected in Roman culture. A revolution where freedom was given to many, and because of that, it implemented a mindset of self-assuming dominance into Roman women, where they would dominate their husbands. They would dominate... the men of the courts. They would dominate the senators they had the power to now. Rebelling against even the highest of Roman law, they went out ripping off their head coverings in public, wearing immodest clothing to the semporia, the banquet dinners. In the first century, women really did not have a career path to become lawyers. They really did not have a career path to become a senator.

They really didn't have a career path of public speaking. But they enjoyed the idea of philosophy. Philosophy was really big, learning the arts. Philosophy was there to train you to be self-controlled. It was supposed to be knowledge that was supposed to make you a better person. And this is kind of the area that men typically studied it in Rome. But it was also taking a turn to teach rhetoric, to teach argument of speech. So how to take knowledge, absorb knowledge, and argue other people with that knowledge, like lawyers do, right? Or senators? And so these new women began to yearn for this power, and they began studying philosophy. Not just any knowledge, but the rhetoric, the argument of philosophy with their brothers. The only issue in society, in the social sphere with this, is their brothers had an outlet. They could go to court and they could use these new skills of arguing, dominating people in conversation. They could go to the Senate. Anyone ever seen kind of videos of how the Senate worked in Rome? It wasn't like a peaceful... These new women became experts, it seems, in dominating conversation and arguments. But the only place that they could utilize these things were in the symposia. We're in banquet dinners, private dinners. We're in private gatherings and conversation or in the ecclesia, the churches held in private homes. We'll get to that a little bit later on. This is a quote by Kelly Olson. A married woman's rank, status, and morality was always supposed to be indicated by her dress, long tunic, stola, and pala, or a mantle drawn over her head when the woman was outdoors and hair bound with fillets. This description is offered by several modern scholars as that of an everyday clothing for women. A true Roman matron. In other words, women, when you go out and you're married, do you wear something to signal everyone that you're married? Ring. We have three ladies over here

that wear a ring. Attitude of the Roman, the new woman. So what would it mean? I'm going to put you in a, okay, let's say that you're going out to dinner, bar, whatever. You're going out to the movies or something with all your lady friends and none of the husbands are going and you arrive at this atmosphere where other singles are meeting but you're there hanging out with your friends and you go up and before you walk in, you do this. What does that mean? What does that mean your intentions are? In the first century, they didn't wear wedding rings. Women had... A veil over their head. We have a statue. Had a veil over their head when they went outside the home. This wasn't necessarily for modesty. It was necessary. The purpose of this was to signal that they were married. Don't talk to me. The new women, self-assumed dominance. We have the power to do what we want. This revolution in Rome. Would walk around with their heads uncovered. Wear clothing. Mesh. See through a little bit. You know, their husband will look the other way. He understands. Though they were generally foreigner slaves and freed women. Do you guys understand what I mean by freed women? So at the bottom of the barrel on social structure in Rome, you had slaves, right? Most Judeans were slaves, right? A large population of Rome were slaves. Now, if you somehow saved enough money to buy your freedom, legally bought your freedom, now you're still as poor as you were, but you're not bound to a master. So you're free. You can actually buy property now. You're still poor, very poor. You're doing the exact same work as a slave, but now you have a few more rights. Above that were the plebeians. Some people call them the middle class, but they were not the middle class. They were like the freed people who may have owned a shop or something. The artists, even doctors were low class. And then way above here were the patricians and these were the elite, a very small portion of Rome. Their freedom was greater, the freed women and the slaves, their freedom was greater than that of a married woman who was bound by seclusion. So they did not wear hood coverings. They dressed in modest to lure and please clients, right? And they trained in the art of sensual companionship. During symposia, imagine a private banquet where people would come together to discuss certain things. You'd have dinner, drink, so on and so forth. Patricians, politicians, philosophers, and philosophers Everyone else would gather to discuss ideas reform religion news and other sorts of conversion conversation these meetings would typically be held in the main banquet hall of a home Most upper-class Roman homes had a main banquet hall and it was essentially a public area We can't really imagine a public room in our homes where it's open to the public they did they had a little hallway you go in and there were public areas that when an event was being held public was invited and It was typical for the escorts, if you will, to accompany men to these events and also it was common for them to give something called after-dinners to the men or anyone else who they thought they could gain something from in sensual acts. This is something that around 44 BCE and around the beginning of the first century, married women began to engage in in Rome. "I'll join some other man to these parties. I will do him favors." Maybe I can meet someone at the party and I can make him my patron. We think Babylon was the worst society to ever exist. Do you guys know in ancient Babylon abortion was illegal? 1 Corinthians 11. Who's Paul writing to? Corinth. Now I commend you because you remember me in everything and maintain the tradition even as I delivered them to you. But I want you to understand that the head of every man is Christ. The head of every wife is her husband. And the head of Christ is God. Every man who prays or prophesies with his head covered dishonors God. Now what was Paul's objective for writing to the churches of Rome or the ecclesia? Church is the wrong word, ecclesia. He was trying to develop an alternative society for Romans. He was trying to develop the true faith of God in

terms they could understand. They were used to submitting to Rome and submitting to

Caesar, hail Caesar. My curios, he was writing them letters saying, no, there is a kingdom above Rome and its ruler is greater than Rome and I want to introduce you to your true curios, your true master, Yeshua Messiah, right? That was his objective, especially to these towns. How many of you guys have ever read this verse and had a problem with it? No one, just me, okay. Men, don't cover your heads when you pray or prophesy. This is a statue of Augustus Caesar. See, he was not only king, he played the role of a priest, a priest-king in first century Rome. And this is a statue, his right arm is missing here, but he was holding a dish to pour out libations. Look how he's dressed. He's dressed like a typical Roman priest when they pray and they prophesy. They pull the toga up over their heads. This was a Roman religious practice. Many people jump up in arms and say, yeah, but the Jews, you know, the No, not really. They wore the tzitzit in the corners of their garments. The tradition or how it was worn began to change around 100 AD, but it didn't develop until our full tallit that we have today that I put around my neck earlier, the mantle, until about 1000 AD. In 1000 AD, the tallit as we know it today was truly formed. It didn't exist the way that the Romans had it in the first century. Is it bad? No, not at all. I know, isn't it cute? When Paul was telling men not to pray with their head covered? He was saying don't look like that when you pray and prophesy. Why? When people come in to your ecclesia, to church, they're going to see you praying like this and they're going to be like, what? So they're Roman priests? That's how Augustus Caesar prays? What is this tradition? Let's go to a more controversial part of the verse. How many women in here have ever been shown this verse and been like, why is your head covered? One, two, three, four. Immodest. What's wrong with you? Do you guys know that modesty, Paul's going to talk about it here in a minute, and I'm setting this up because I just want to say this one word in Latin, and I apologize because it's really, I just want to say it in Latin. So modesty in the Greek word comes from the Latin word pudor. And so Paul is encouraging women to pudor. It's modesty. It's modesty. So what does this mean? I mean, you guys, you know, when we just prayed, I didn't see very many women with their heads covered. Right? All the guys have their heads covered. Everything's wrong! Remember, matrons, married women, are supposed to have their heads covered when they're in a public place so they don't look like the new women of Rome, so they don't look like the women that take off their wedding rings whenever they go and hang out with a bunch of other men and women. The ecclesia was something that was public. We think of these private homes. In the first century, they weren't necessarily private. It's kind of like private just like we are. Got signs out, come on, come to Ecclesia. People passing by the homes would peek in. Women, make sure that when you're praying, you look modest. Make sure that when you're praying, people do not get you confused with the new women of Rome. What's he talking about with the head cover? A woman that doesn't cover her head should cut her hair. I think he's being sarcastic. You might as well just cut your hair because it's a disgrace to shave your head. Why? Why is it a disgrace to shave your head, women? Because a woman guilty of adultery shall have her hair cut off according to the law and she shall continue to play the prostitute. This was the punishment for adultery. If you have your head uncovered and you're married and you're sitting there praying and so on and so forth with a bunch of other guys and girls, you look like a prostitute in Roman culture. Remember I was saying Paul wrote the letter for you but not to you? He was writing to them. In Roman culture, that's what it appeared to be in the first century believers' homes. For a man ought not to cover his head, since he is the image of God. But woman is the glory of God. For man was not made from women, but women made from man. You ever realize why he's trying to remind them of this? Anyone ever studied Gnosticism a little bit? So in the first century, I'm not going to go too into it. Essentially,

imagine a spinoff of the Judean faith that is focused around one thing. Now, we may not understand this in Hebrew roots or Messianic circles, but, you know, let's just help me out a little bit. So their whole faith and the reason of their worship and the reason of their commitment of God was for one thing and one thing over, and it was not for love. It was not relationship. It was not for anything else but knowledge. Right? and this knowledge increased and they felt that if they could have enough knowledge of God then that would bring them closer to God in a nutshell. There's many other different areas but in Gnosticism they actually taught that Eve was created first in some sects. This is something that Paul battled with. He mentions it throughout his letters. Guys, no, we're gonna bring it home. I know a bunch of other guys, crazy folk in the city. Man came first and then Eve. That is why a wife ought to have a symbol of authority on her head because of the angels. How many of you guys have ever read that verse? In other words, in other words, in other words, women, listen, you need to cover your head when you pray and prophesy. Okay, forget modesty. You need to cover it because of the angels. And of course, people start, oh, that's the Nephilim. Oh, book of Enoch, yada, yada, yada, you know, and the book of Enoch's great, and I love it, and the ideas in the book of Enoch were ingrained in first, second century, first century Judaism, but the book of Enoch was not written by Enoch, guys. It was written by multiple authors between 350 to 300, I mean, to 100 BC. It was finalized about 100 years, one generation before Yeshua, okay? Not to take away from it, that's fine, but But because of the angels, like maybe you're going to attract an angel, right? And, you know, according to the book of Enoch, angels have a thing with raping women, and you don't want that to happen to you. So I Corinthians II, you need to cover your head, right? Anyone else been? Okay, I've studied that before. It's amazing. This is an interesting verse that we never see because Paul brings it home. He says, listen, Men, you're not independent without your wife. And women, you're not independent without your husband. You guys are together. There is no status difference here. There is a role difference, but there is no status difference here. In other words, when you were created, and you married, then your status with each other was equal. You respect each other with equal respect. Men, you are not greater than your wives. You have a role that is different than your wives. And yes, that role is to be the leader of the family. You're this one that's supposed to be getting the machete out and going in the woods and clearing the path down and being the example that your wife wants to follow and your children want to follow her. That's what Paul is saying. You do not have the authority to give the machete to your wife and say, hey, go into the woods and go down that path. I told you to go down that path. Go. No, you're supposed to lead the way. There are women, even today, there are women who disrespect their husbands and believe that they are greater than their husbands. That is not true. At least it's not supposed to be the case. Women are supposed to edify the husband and the husband is supposed to edify the wife in their positions as equals in the marriage. Because guys, I can't do my marriage alone. It is that simple. You can't be married alone. And if you think you can, then you're highly mistaken. And if you both have machetes and you're going through the woods clearing two different paths, you're not on the same field anymore, guys. You're not supposed to ever be independent from each other. In your walk, in the way you lead your family, and even in your roles, you're supposed to support each other. So man is now born of woman and all things are for God. You see why Paul says that? For women came from man. And then he says, oh, men, do you know where you came from? I want to go back to this angels thing. So I looked up this word angels and guess what it is? Angelos. It can mean angels or just like in the Hebrew, it can mean messengers. I'm going to push that the term angels means messenger. And I'll tell you why. Matt, that doesn't make any sense because

the women need to cover their head because of the messengers that are coming to deliver a message. Well, in Greek... A messenger could be two things. It could be someone that's coming with a message, or a messenger can be someone who comes, looks around, takes in data, and then has a message to take somewhere else. If it's your first time here today, you're a messenger. You're going to take data up. You're going to connect with people in here. You're going to look at the teaching, praise and worship. And maybe when you go home, you're going to give that message to someone else. Remember, the ecclesia was public domain. Women need to cover their head for the sake of the messengers, for the sake of the people coming in that could misconstrue communication, misconstrue what's going on in the first century government or first century, I don't want to say churches, but churches, and take it somewhere else. Hey, have you heard about this Yeshua? Yeah, I went to one of their gatherings. All the women have their heads uncovered. Sometimes we make things more complicated than they might be. Whatever the motive in sending messengers, the report would have gone back telling of certain Christian women who were engaged in praying and prophesying activities that would be interpreted in a religious concept as connected to an important priestly office. Their deliberate removing of their veils while praying and prophesying would have sent a signal that they were identifying themselves with this religious gathering as well as the new women who behaved loosely at banquets which were often held in these very same private homes in the very same private settings. The banquets where new women flourished looked very similar to a banquet of believers, as well as the structure. It was in the same location, they may have had some food, they may have all sat around, men and women talking. This is why Paul's writing them, guys, you've got to be careful of how you represent our king. Judge for yourself. Is it proper for a wife to pray to God with her head uncovered? Now that you know the context, is it proper in Rome? No, not if people are gonna be watching her. If you were what you wore, if you are what you wear, and in a public arena, you're taking your wedding ring off and then acting like you're praying to God, this sends a very confusing message for those people who are looking for hope and trying to gain access to a closer relationship to God. If anyone is inclined to be contentious, we have no practice, nor do the churches of God. I got really excited because for the first time, I understood what verse 16 meant. If you've ever studied this topic or been taught this topic, I was taught that verse 16 meant that this was Paul's opinion and you didn't have to follow it. In other words, if you want to look like a hussy in church and act like all these things, then you can go ahead and do that because if you're contentious about it, don't worry about it. We have no practice in the ecclesia or we have no practice in this tradition, right? Anyone else? That's just what I was taught. The thing is, is now that we understand the context, Paul wasn't just wasting all of this parchment ink just to let you know what he thinks. That was my rhyme. Paul wasn't wasting paper and ink to sit here and try to blab about his opinion that really doesn't matter. I believe that he was legit. Listen, women, do not, if you're in Corinth, do not pray in a public area with your head uncovered. Men, don't act like Augustus Caesar while you're praying to the God of Israel. You're acting like you're praying to Augustus who was a god, or at least viewed that way, so it looks like you're praying to Augustus. Stop it. Unless you're contentious about it, then there's no practice. You can just go ahead. No. Guys, if anyone be contentious, here we go, Misonius Rufus is a first century Roman author. Here's what he says. He's speaking about the contrast between the new women of Rome and the traditional women, and he says, "...but it is also necessary for a woman to be self-controlled, and on the hand she is free from luxurious recklessness, and on the other hand concerning other pleasures, not a slave of desire nor contentious." Not lavish in expense, nor extravagant

```
in dress. These were things that the new women of Rome, this new attitude, defiant attitude
 was spreading. When Paul's talking about if anyone's going to be contentious, we have no
 practice like that here, nor in any of the churches of God, nor in any of the ecclesiastical of
 God. Get out. You're not going to come here with your contentious attitudes trying to rebel
     against the practice and tear down the faith of Yeshua with your attitude and your
self-assuming dominance. in trying to argue, in trying to tear others down, in trying to spread
some type of rhetorical knowledge that you have, and trying to act like someone who is not
under anyone's authority. If you're not under your husband's authority, then you're obviously
not under Christ's authority, then you're obviously not under God's authority. If you're going to
    have a contentious attitude, we have no practice like that here. You know, when I was
studying the dyad or dyadic structure of social societies, it became very evident to me that in
 Hebrew roots and messianic circles, where have we come from? Most of us. A lot of people
  come from a church, right? Sunday church. And a lot of us just kind of blanket that whole
 past as Christianity or church Christianity or Sunday Christianity, right? And we understood
our role there and we understood where our purpose was there and we understood what we
were supposed to be doing there until we found the truth of Torah, until we found the Hebrew
 roots of the Christian faith, until we began studying outside the sphere of what we believe.
  thought we were supposed to be doing, and then we broke free of that role, which was a
 good thing. We're here because of that, but if we're not careful, if we are not careful, we will
end up like the new women of Rome in a revolution in our own mind, trying to dominate with
our excess freedom that we've given to ourselves now because we're no longer bound by the
 church or whatever malarkey we like to make up. It's true. I see it. I see it all over the place. I
Corinthians 11:34 "The women should keep silent in the churches, for they are not permitted to
  speak, but should be in submissive, as the law also says. If there is anything they desire to
  learn, let them go ask their husbands at home, for it is a shameful thing for the women to
 speak at church." Amen! All the men just looking so straight. Many denominations won't let
 women teach because of this verse. Nope. You can go home and talk to your husband. You
got a question about a Bible verse? Why don't you raise your hand? Go home. See, and they'll
cite, as the law also says, obviously Torah says this, right? Until I took a second look and I said,
wait a second, Torah doesn't say women can't yak, right? Torah never addresses that. I mean,
  a lot of people will cite like Genesis 3, which is speaking about, you know, the structure of
  Adam, then Eve, and so on and so forth, but it never talks about Eve not allowed to talk to
  anyone else. What law is Paul talking about? Guys, he's talking about Roman law. It was
  passed in law during the time of Augustus that women were banned from interceding in
 public settings or come between two parties, and imperial ban was in place from allowing
 women to intervene on behalf of their husbands in the context of legal arguments. In other
 words, because the social sphere of lawyers, because the social sphere of law, because the
  Senate was all run by male figures, women were banned from intervening. It was a social
mold, as the law says. So we talked about Ecclesia. I forgot to define this. You guys know that,
of course, Ecclesia, which is the term that is translated as church, doesn't mean church. That's
  a word that came later through a Latin word, yada, yada, yada. It actually means... legal
governmental assembly now in the Septuagint for those of you who care in the Septuagint it
was it was used to Define the assemblies of God when all of Israel came together in one place
     in one meeting right but in Rome these were not one place one meeting these were
Ecclesiastes of Rome and Galatia and what Corinth and Ephesus these were spotted all over
     the place and and it actually complements the Latin word "pilos" which talks about
governmental meetings. An Ekklesia was an official legal meeting in the context of discussing
```

government. It wasn't just a Bible study, the first century church. It was a first century revolution against Rome. What do you mean a government? People were rejecting the government of Rome And Paul was setting up an alternative government that they were now citizens of, and that was the government of Yahweh, the government of God with Yeshua as their king. They were setting these legal establishments up to support the people in their new government. That's a lot to take in, wasn't it? I know. I'm sorry. You can research that. If it is a governmental meeting, then what's going on? Guys, this isn't talking about Just any women. We always like to pick and choose verses, right? Look, Paul says women cannot speak. Earlier in the same chapter, who was Paul addressing? The contentious ones. Who were the contentious ones? They were the new women of Rome. The new women that were studying rhetoric and argument of speech. The new women that were studying philosophy just so they could dominate men in public settings, in banquets, just so that they would have an outlet at church. In other words, there were new women in Corinth that were coming to the ecclesia They were not allowing the teachers to teach they were not allowing the pastors to pastor They were not allowing the evangelist to do their work They were not allowing the prophets to prophesy all they wanted to do was yak about how much they know about a certain subject of the Bible or philosophy or whatever it is that they're yakking about and They would take over the entire assembly and they would cause problems and they would spread doctrine and they would tear the Ecclesia apart and this is who Paul is addressing these women stop it and Do you guys realize that we have the spirit of new women in Hebrew roots and messianic circles? It's not just in women. It's men too. I've met many of them who love to not study the Bible for the sake of having a cleaner and more intimate relationship with God, but they study the scriptures so that they can approach someone else who they know doesn't know the scriptures as well as they are, and so they can attack them and show them that they're dominant in the Bible. That is horrible. That is absolutely horrible. It's of the devil. I'll say it. I don't care. You study and you teach to edify. That's it. If you're not edifying someone with your learned ways, stop it. What is your agenda then if not for edification, if not for bringing others closer to Messiah? This law was passed in Rome because of these women, because of this attitude. Men were shamed for having it, so it was under control for men. They weren't prepared. Women in law, what are you doing? for it is shameful. That term, to be silent, actually means to be quiet and peaceful in a learning. It's a student term, to learn peacefully. We'll keep going. 1 Timothy 2, 9. This is the other. I had two up here. This is the other big... big scripture that we have an issue with. Likewise also, women should not adorn themselves, should adorn themselves in respectful apparel and modesty, self-control, not with braided hair and golden pearls and costly attire, but with what is proper for women who profess godliness with good works. Let a woman learn quietly with all submissiveness. I do not pit, I do not A what? Let's break this down. Why? Why? How many of you have got jewelry today? Braided hair? My wife! What was the context in Rome? Seneca. You guys know Seneca? First century author, right? He was speaking about, he was writing a letter to his mother. And I know I'm quoting a lot, but I want to drive this point home, so bear with me. He was writing a letter to his mother encouraging her because she was not falling for the same junk that the new women were. Nor did you abort your children. You have never defiled your face with paints or cosmetics. Neither have you fancied the kind of dress that exposed no greater nakedness by being removed. In other words, never have you worn anything that you were the same amount of naked before you took it off as you were after. Your only ornament, the kind of beauty that tie does not tarnish, is great honor of modesty. Now notice Seneca starts and ends his letter with his mom based on two things. What she's

```
wearing, what she's wearing. Who was he writing to? The new women of Rome werebreaking
the traditions of modesty. This is why they began wearing, not that jewelry wasn't something
that was worn. Jewelry was definitely worn in Rome, but not like it was with the new women.
 Sparkly. We got rock stars on the music awards coming out. Sparkles everywhere. You can
just paint it on now. Why? What does that do? Draws attention. What kind of attention? And
what is the draw? Why would you want to draw that attention? That's your uniform if you're a
prostitute. That's the uniform. So Paul's sitting here saying, listen girls, don't be braiding your
 hair. Don't be wearing the earrings. Don't be doing, wearing those kind of clothes with that
purple. I know it's pretty. Stop it. Why? Because you're going to, it's a bunch of prostitutes that
worship Jesus. What are you doing? Why are you doing this? Yet she shall be saved through
 childbearing if they continue in faith and love. This is a very debatable verse, but from what
I've read, the new women were big on after dinners, which meant that they were also big on
abortion because who wants to sit there and hold a baby, get stretch marks? That would ruin
  my body, and then I wouldn't be able to continue my lifestyle. No, you need to continue in
 childbearing. You need to continue in the role that God has planted in just, "Your husband
 can't do this!" We can't! Even if we try! Lots of guys trying to do it today, it's not working! I'm
gonna get in trouble for bringing up gay marriage or the social aspect of the gay community.
   I'll be politically correct as I can. Ever since gay marriage was legalized, Supreme Court
    decision, okay, whatevs. Not a whole lot's changed, but whatever. What has been the
 response from the gay community? Gay community would go to a state, and go to a clerk
 which they knew would not issue them a marriage license. And they wouldn't just go alone.
They would call the media. They would say, "Look at this. Look what we have the power to do
  now because we're free and we're in a revolutionary state in society and we are no longer
held back by the dyadic structures that were previously bound to us. So now let's go over the
freaking top of every single spotlight that we can get in and try to shame everybody with our
self-assumed dominance." It's part of nature. It happens. Every single generation that breaks
free of whatever mold they were in is susceptible of it. That's why I'm concerned for Messianic
believers, Hebrew Roots believers. That's why I'm concerned about us because we need to be
 careful not to be so arrogant to think that we have all of this self-assumed dominance that
we can just spread around, that we're better than everyone else because we're not. We're not.
We believe we found truth. We have the Torah of our king. I'm so, so happy and honored that
   I would have access to such a thing, and to call upon the name of my Messiah, my King,
Yeshua. Doesn't make me better than anyone else. Sure. She who first began to practice the
tearing out of her tender progeny deserved to die in her own welfare. Can it be that to be free
 of the flaws of stretch marks you have to scatter the tragic sands of carnage? Why will you
 subject your womb to the weapons of abortion and give dread poisons to the unborn? The
tigress lurking in Armenia does no such thing, nor does the lioness dare destroy her young, yet
  tender girls do so, though not with impunity. Often she who kills what is in her womb dies
herself. She dies herself and is born to the pyre, the fires of her funeral, with her hair unloosed,
  and for all to behold. Many women would die during an attempt to destroy their children.
 There you are, hair unloosed, Laying there burning to death. How's that freedom feel? Let a
woman learn quietly with all submissiveness. So like I said, that term quietly in Greek actually
   is a student term and it means to sit and pay attention. But I do not permit a woman to
   teach or to exercise. That word exercise is very interesting. I'm very thankful for the Bible
software I have now because it's actually accurate with the terms and comparisons and the
meanings of words. It actually means to dominate to dominate. Those words authority over a
 man aren't even in there. Those who exercise man, those who dominate man. But I do not
```

```
permit women to teach and to dominate men. Rather, she is to remain peaceful and quietlike
  everyone else. See, he's writing Titus talking about the circumcision. Some Judean parties
 that were doing the exact same thing that the new women of Rome were doing, right? And
   once you tell Titus, they must be silenced. Why? Because since they are upsetting whole
 families by teaching for shameful gain what they ought not to teach. Ladies, don't ever let
  someone tell you that this verse is talking about you just because your gender makes you
 somehow lesser than a man's and you're not qualified to teach and you're not qualified to
  learn and you're not qualified to be a part of the faith and grow closer to your God. That is
heresy according to the scriptures. What Paul is saying is he's saying, listen, you need to take
these people who think they're better than everyone else who all they want to do is dominate
  people with knowledge and arguments about the scriptures in these fellowships and you
  need to silence them. They need to learn how to sit down and shut up. Men and women. I
don't permit these women to teach and dominate everyone else. Women have taught me a
 lot about God and about the Torah and about the scriptures from angles and aspects that I
 would have never, ever, ever been able to understand without their influence. Paul did too.
Paul's commended coworker, Priscilla, if you will, the transliteration, taught Apollos, the great
preacher in Acts, Herner Usland, In addition, Paul frequently mentions other women who held
 positions of responsibility in the ecclesia. Phoebe, I call her Phoebe because it's fun. Phoebe
   worked in the ecclesia. He mentions her in Romans. She was a deacon. It was a deacon
 female and he's sitting here being like, yeah, she's doing a banging job teaching others the
 ways of God. Mary, Trifina, and Trifosa, were all considered the Lord's workers. Women who
     Paul commended for the work that they were doing above and beyond in the new
 government, the kingdom of God. Can't read it. 1 Timothy 1.5, I am reminded of your sincere
     faith, which first lived in your grandmother, Lois, and your mother, Eunice, and I am
 persuaded now lives also in you. Titus, nice young upcoming leader in the church, if you will,
  the ecclesia, was taught by his grandmother and his mother about the ways of God. Paul
 says, yeah, I can see their teaching in you clearly. This stuff that This stuff, this idea that we
have in Hebrew roots and Christianity and all circles of believer that somehow women are not
      capable of teaching a topic or not capable of hearing from God or not capable of
 prophesying is a lie from the devil. A lie from Satan himself. So another quote by Masonius
Rufus. If they give up their households and go about with men and practice giving speeches
   and argue with attack premises when they ought to be sitting at home spinning wool,
providing for their family. Above all, we must examine the doctrine that we think women who
    practice philosophy should follow to determine if the study that shows restraint to the
greatest good makes them arrogant and if the study that leads to deportment makes them
  more careless." and if the study that reveals that the worst evil is self-indulgence does not
teach self-control, and if the study that establishes household management as a virtue does
    not encourage them to manage their households. This was the motivation of this new
attitude in the society of high-class Roman women. They wanted to study philosophy not for
the benefits of philosophy was made for, but because them in their own arrogance could go
     and attempt to dominate men in man's land. Hey, what cooler thing? They've been
    oppressing us for this long. Let's take them out. I'm better than you. I've seen people in
 fellowships, guys, that have the attitude of the new women of Rome. We have to be careful
and we have to check our motives and we have to check our hearts. Why are we studying the
 Torah? Something that teaches restraint? Does it give us an outlet for self-indulgence? The
 women of Rome were leaving their households, leaving their children behind, leaving their
families, no longer supporting the traditional family structure to go and study all day so that
```

they could have this knowledge, to go and argue all night and drink all night to show their power and independence. This is what Paul was battling because it was affecting the first century ecclesy, it was affecting the first century fellowships. And we have to be very, very careful, both men and women, not to have this spirit of Rome in us. Because we may think that we have a great relationship with God, but we need to check our heart because we could have all the knowledge of the world and be far from him. Plutarch, the last quote. He's talking on Fulvia. I think she was the second wife of Mark Anthony, the widow of so-and-so. She was a woman who took no thought for spinning or housekeeping, keeping up the house, managing the house, not just cleaning, but supporting the children in the household. Nor would she bind to bear sway over a man of private station. But she wished to rule a ruler and command a commander. Plutarch speaking of this woman who abandoned her role in the house, in the family, and went after dominance of others. All she wanted to do was get in the same room as a senator so she could tell him and show him how stupid he was because how smart she was and she's been studying too. We need to be very careful. Guys, I know it wasn't, that seemed exhaustive. That was not actually an exhaustive study. But I hope that when you revisit these verses from Paul, and he's talking about women be quiet, that you'll read the whole chapter and now maybe you'll be able to have enough. You'll take away something today that will at least drive you to search and study the context of what Paul is talking about. He wasn't attacking women. He was commending women who had the strength and endurance to teach others, to be deacons, to be respected, just like he was with the men. We are supposed to be a support system for each other. We are supposed to be a family. We're supposed to be the ecclesia of Messiah, the supporters of the legal kingdom of God. What does that look like? It looks like edifying one another. It looks like searching after God. It looks like crying out for God. It looks like repenting. It looks like leaning on one another. It does not look like, hey, I studied for a solid week and I read all these books and I know you had that cool Torah portion review that you just did, but you're completely wrong because I have this commentary and it's better than yours. Jean, you did awesome, by the way. I took a picture because she was up here teaching and we had, should women teach? And I took a picture. Yes. Yes, they should teach. That's what Paul says. Phoebe the deacon. Phoebe. Phoebe. So women, do not be discouraged by Paul's writings, and do not be discouraged by some guy who has no idea what he's talking about.

For more on this and other teachings, please visit us at Foundedintruth.com

EMAIL: Info@foundedintuth.com

FACEBOOK: <u>facebook.com/foundedintruth</u>

WEBSITE: https://www.foundedintruth.com

Google: <a href="https://g.co/kgs/az3iPeM">https://g.co/kgs/az3iPeM</a>